

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI
EASTERN DIVISION**

**COMMUNICATIONS CONSULTING
SERVICES, INC.**

PLAINTIFF

VERSUS

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:05CV179-P-A

MORGAN KEEGAN & COMPANY

DEFENDANT

ORDER

This cause is before the Court on the defendant's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [26]. The Court, having reviewed the motion, the response, the briefs of the parties, the authorities cited and being otherwise fully advised in the premises, finds as follows, to-wit:

That the plaintiff has demonstrated a triable issue of fact with regard to its claims against the defendant. Accordingly, summary judgment is inappropriate. In ruling on a motion for summary judgment, the court is not to make credibility determinations, weigh evidence, or draw from the facts legitimate inferences for the movant. Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 477 U.S. 242, 255 (1986). Rather, the evidence of the nonmovant is to be believed, and all justifiable inferences are to be drawn in its favor. Id. at 255. The United States Supreme Court has granted the trial court some degree of flexibility when confronted with a summary judgment motion: "Neither do we suggest . . . that the trial court may not deny summary judgment in a case where there is reason to believe that the better course would be to proceed to a full trial." Id.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the defendant's [26] Motion for Partial Summary Judgment is not well-taken and should be, and hereby is, DENIED.

SO ORDERED, this the 11th day of October, 2006.

/s/ W. Allen Pepper, Jr. _____

W. ALLEN PEPPER, JR.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE